

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO 6467 |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 09/907,364 | 07/17/2001 | Bo Su Chen | M40 01375 US. | |
| 128 | 7590 07/08/2003 | | | · |
| | ELL INTERNATION | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 22 | · = | , FUREMAN, JARED | | |
| MORRISTO | WN, NJ 07962-2245 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2876 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | \ | | | | XV | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/907,364 | | CHEN, BO SU | | | | |
| Onice Action Sum | riar y | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | | Jared J. Furema | | 2876 | i-i | | | |
| Period for Reply | communication ap | pears on the cove | r sneet with the c | orrespona nce ad | aress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO. - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - If the period for reply specified above is less. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the end of the Failure to reply within the set or extended period and the period by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status | OMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a repi maximum statutory period iod for reply will, by statute see months after the mailin | I36(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory mi will apply and will expire e, cause the application | ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) day: SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communica | tion(s) filed on | _ | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . | | — · nis action is non-f | inal. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pendir | ng in the application | n. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allow | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejecte | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are object | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject | | or election require | ement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | · | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected | to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 J</u> | <u>uly 2001</u> is/are: a)[| ☑ accepted or b) | objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and | 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made o | f a claim for foreigi | n priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the | e priority document | s have been rece | eived in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified application from t | he International Bu | reau (PCT Rule | 17.2(a)). | | Stage | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Off | | | - | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a | | | | | application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the fo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT | Review (PTO-948) O-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> | 4) | Interview Summary Notice of Informal F Other: | (PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0 | s) D-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Ac | tion Summary | | Part of Paper No. 3 | | | | |

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the IDS, filed on 8/17/2001, which has been entered in the file. Claims 1-32 are pending.

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is greater than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claims 17 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 17, line 12: "detection mechanism" should be replaced with --detector--, in order to avoid a lack of proper antecedent basis for "said detection mechanism".

Claim 21, lines 1-2: "said VCSEL" lacks proper antecedent basis. Should claim 21 depend from claim 20?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10-12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pinnock (WO 99/39169 A1).

Re claims 1, 3-7, 9, and 10: Pinnock teaches a method for analyzing the performance of a system wherein light is directed from at least one light source (20) to

'Art Unit: 2876

an encoded portion (slots 16 or 18) of a rotating member (disk elements 10 or 12) of said system, said method comprising the steps of: transmitting a portion of the light to said encoded portion of said rotating member; detecting (via sensor array 22) a transmitted portion of the light; and recovering information from said transmitted portion of the light containing performance characteristic data of said system; wherein the encoded portion of the rotating member comprises a bar code (disk element 12 includes slots 18 representing a portion of disk element 12 having higher optical transmissivity than the portions ("spokes") which separate the slots, thus, the encoded portion can be considered a bar code); wherein the encoded portion of the rotating member comprises at least one measuring feature (optical transmissivity) formed on a planar surface of the rotating member; wherein said at least one measuring feature formed on said planar surface of said rotating member comprises an optical encoder (the slots 18 and "spokes" represent an optical encoder) for encoding performance characteristic data of the system; configuring a plurality of measuring features (slots 18 and "spokes") to form a vernier for measuring movement within the system; shaping said encoded portion of said rotating member to increase transmission of said transmitted light in a particular direction (the slots 18 have increased optical transmissivity than the "spokes" between the slots); assessing said system utilizing said performance characteristic data; generating an electrical feedback signal from information recovered from said transmitted portion of the light (the sensor array 22 generates an electrical signal); and providing said electrical feedback signal to an input of said system (data processor 24), thereby improving said performance characteristic

Art Unit: 2876

data of said system (see figures 1-7, page 2 line 4 - page 3 line 7, and page 7 line 6 - page 10 line 8).

Page 4

Re claims 11, 12, 14, and 16: The teachings of Pinnock have been discussed above. Pinnock also teaches an apparatus for analyzing the performance of a system having a rotating member (disk elements 10 or 12) therein, said apparatus comprising: at least one directing element (a diffuser, not shown) that directs light from a light source (20) in order to intercept an encoded portion of said rotating member; at least one transmitting element (slots 16 or 18, having a higher optical transmissivity) that transmits a transmitted portion of said light from said encoded portion of said rotating member; and at least one detector (sensor array 22) that detects the transmitted portion of said light to recover performance information maintained therein, wherein said performance information contains performance characteristics (torque and angular position) of said system; recovery mechanism (data processor 24) that recovers information about a performance characteristic of said system; wherein the directing element comprises an optical lens (the diffuser represents an optical lens) (see figures 1-7, page 2 line 4 - page 3 line 7, and page 7 line 6 - page 10 line 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2876

6. Claims 8, 13, 17-19, and 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinnock in view of Burke, Jr (US 3,688,570).

The teachings of Pinnock have been discussed above. Pinnock also teaches an apparatus for detecting the relative motion between at least two rotating members in a system having a light source (20) for generating a light beam, said apparatus comprising: transmissive mechanism (slot 16) located on a first rotating member (disk element 10) for the transmission of said light beam from an encoded portion of said first rotating member; transmissive mechanism (slot 18) located on a second rotating member (disk element 12) for the transmission of said light beam through said encoded portion of said first rotating member; and a detector (sensor array 22), wherein said detector is located proximate to said system; a collimating lens (a diffuser, not shown) located proximate said system, wherein said collimating lens renders said light beam from said light source into a highly collimated parallel light beam, thereby directing said light beam to intercept said encoded portion on said first rotating member; wherein said at least one encoded portion comprises: a transparent polymer film (annular overlay 100) having parallel lines of opaque bar code imprinted on an upper surface of said transparent polymer film; and wherein said opaque parallel lines are spaced evenly with a width of a gap formed therebetween, wherein the width of the gap corresponds to the width of said opaque parallel lines; and wherein said transparent polymer film is fixed to a rotating member (disk element 12); wherein said transparent polymer film comprises a bar code when adhered to a rotating disk; and wherein said bar code is adhered to a planar surface of a rotating member; wherein

Art Unit: 2876

said light beam intercepts said first and second encoded portions of said rotating members at an angle of incidence of about 90.degree.; and wherein said light beam carries an image of said bar code after being transmitted through said encoded portions of said first and second rotating members; wherein said detector is located on a sensor (the sensor array 22 contains many detectors); wherein said encoded portion of the first rotating member is shaped to increase said transmitted light in a particular direction; wherein said encoded portion of the first rotating member is shaped to form an optical encoder for encoding information representing performance characteristics of said system; wherein said encoded portion of the first rotating member is provided as a vernier on said rotating member to increase accuracy for sensing motion thereof; wherein said encoded portion of the first rotating member comprises features recessed (the slots 16 and 18 are recessed into the surface of the disk elements 10 and 12, respectively) into a surface or edge of said rotating member (see figures 1-7, page 2 line 4 - page 3 line 7, and page 7 line 6 - page 10 line 8).

Pinnock fails to specifically teach transmitting at least one light beam from said encoded portions of said rotating member to interact with at least one other light beam to form Moiré fringes on a sensor; the detector detecting Moiré fringes formed as a result of the interaction of images from said first and second encoded portions of said first and second rotating members.

Burke, Jr teaches a method and apparatus for analyzing the performance of a system, comprising: a first encoded rotating member (shell 14), a second encoded rotating member (shell 22), a light source (32) generating a light beam, a

Art Unit: 2876

sensor/detector (48, 53) receiving a light beam from the first encoded member and a light beam from the second encoded member to form Moiré fringes on the sensor/detector as a result of the interaction of images from the first and second encoded portions of the first and second rotating members (see figures 1A, 1, 2, 4, column 1 lines 4-6, 59-67, and column 2 line 56 - column 5 line 64).

In view of Burke, Jr's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the method and apparatus as taught by Pinnock, transmitting at least one light beam from said encoded portions of said rotating member to interact with at least one other light beam to form Moiré fringes on a sensor; the detector detecting Moiré fringes formed as a result of the interaction of images from said first and second encoded portions of said first and second rotating members, in order to take advantage of the sensitivity and displacement amplification capabilities of the Moiré fringe system (see column 3 lines 40-45 of Burke, Jr).

7. Claims 2 and 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinnock in view of Cui et al (US 6,399,940 B1).

The teachings of Pinnock have been discussed above.

Pinnock fails to specifically teach the light comprising a vertical cavity surfaceemitting laser.

Cui et al teaches a method and apparatus for measuring the performance of a system, including the use of a vertical cavity surface-emitting laser (see column 7 lines 31-37).

Art Unit: 2876

In view of Cui et al's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the method and apparatus as taught by Pinnock, the light comprising a vertical cavity surface emitting laser, in order to provide a compact laser diode for the light source, thereby reducing the size of the apparatus.

8. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinnock as modified by Burke, Jr further in view of Cui et al.

The teachings of Pinnock as modified by Burke, Jr have been discussed above.

Pinnock as modified by Burke, Jr fails to specifically teach the light source comprising at least one vertical cavity surface-emitting laser.

The teachings of Cui et al have been discussed above.

In view of Cui et al's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the apparatus as taught by Pinnock as modified by Burke, Jr, the light comprising a vertical cavity surface emitting laser, in order to provide a compact laser diode for the light source, thereby reducing the size of the apparatus.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Horton et al (US RE37,969 E), Dalton et al (US 2003/0010137 A1), Pinnock (US 6,285,024 B1), Yagita (US 6,089,455), Renner et al (US 4,641,027), Wilson (US 3,194,065), and Chino et al (DE 197 38 965 A1) all teach methods and apparatus for measuring the performance of a system.

Art Unit: 2876

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared J. Fureman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0424. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 PM M-T, and

every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

June 29, 2003

Janed J. Fureman

Art Unit 2876